

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/532,931  
Applicant : HAMPRECHT et al  
Filed : April 28, 2005  
TC/A.U. : 1624  
Examiner : Ebenezer O. Sackey

Docket No. : 3165-121  
Customer No. : 6449  
Confirmation No.: 3101

**REQUEST FOR COMPLETE OFFICE ACTION AND  
RESTART OF RESPONSE TERM**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A Restriction Requirement mailed July 2, 2008, has now been received in the above application. The Examiner has required restriction between Group I, II, III, VI and VII. Since the numbering of the Groups is not consecutive numbering, it is possible that Group IV and V were intended to be included by the Examiner but were inadvertently omitted. More importantly, the Examiner's statement as to why the Groups do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 is missing from the Office Action, and without knowledge of the Examiner's position counsel will have a difficult time in providing a meaningful traverse. It appears that the Examiner planned to provide reasons but for some reason the explanation was not included in the Office Action.

Accordingly, the mailing of a full and complete Office Action, and the resetting of the response date, is believed in order and is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert B. Murray", written over a horizontal line.

Robert B. Murray  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 22,980  
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK  
1425 K. Street, Suite 800  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 783-6040

RBM/cb